

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services
DCJS NY RFP CJS2020-02
Provision of Ignition Interlock Services in New York State
As of February 4, 2021

| Question # | Page # | RFP Paragraph # | Question | DCJS Response |
|------------|--------|-----------------|--|--|
| 1 | 67 | Question # 4 | On pg. 67 of RFP, number 4 discusses clients that must have fees waived when ordered by court. Does DCJS have any information they can provide about how many clients fall into this criteria? | <p>Regulation section 358.5(b)(2) states:</p> <p><i>Every manufacturer who applies shall provide a thorough description of each device intended for use in New York State, provide proof of such certification by the department of health for each device intended for use in New York State, and the fee structure associated with that specific device. Descriptive information about the device shall include but not be limited to: make and model of device, special features of the device such as camera, reporting capabilities, removable head, global positioning system, and real-time reporting. Fee structure information shall include any and all fees charged to the operator, including but not limited to installation fee, monthly fee, any special service fees, and de-installation fee. The proposed fee structure shall take into consideration and be based upon an anticipated ten percent (10%) waiver of the fees by criminal courts due to operator unaffordability. Annually, on or about February 15th of each year, the division shall review requests by qualified manufacturers for rate adjustments which shall include information submitted by qualified manufacturers involving unaffordability waivers granted by courts. At its discretion, the division shall approve rate adjustments where appropriate.</i></p> <p>Please refer to the attached data sheets for 2018 and 2019 information</p> |

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services
DCJS NY RFP CJS2020-02
Provision of Ignition Interlock Services in New York State
As of February 4, 2021

| Question # | Page # | RFP Paragraph # | Question | DCJS Response |
|------------|---------|-----------------|--|--|
| 2 | | | Can the RFA be submitting in-person? If so, what would be the steps on how to deliver? | Yes, applications may be submitted in-person. They can be dropped off at 80 South Swan Street, Albany, New York 12210. Please drop these off at the security desk and ask that the mailroom be called to receive them. |
| 3 | 158-159 | | Pages 158 & 159 of the RFA are blank pages that could possibly be associated with Appendix O. Can you confirm that there is nothing missing that needs to be filled out that was intended for those pages? | The Division confirms that there is nothing missing that needs to be filled out that was intended for pages 158-159. |

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services
DCJS NY RFP CJS2020-02
Provision of Ignition Interlock Services in New York State
As of February 4, 2021

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 4 | | | <p>What is the average length of program per ignition interlock client on the program?</p> | <p>New York State Vehicle and Traffic Law section 1193(b)(ii) requires the following:</p> <p><i>In addition to the imposition of any fine or period of imprisonment set forth in this paragraph, the court shall also sentence such person convicted of, or adjudicated a youthful offender for, a violation of subdivision two, two-a or three of section eleven hundred ninety-two of this article to a term of probation or conditional discharge, as a condition of which it shall order such person to install and maintain, in accordance with the provisions of section eleven hundred ninety-eight of this article, an ignition interlock device in any motor vehicle owned or operated by such person during the term of such probation or conditional discharge imposed for such violation of section eleven hundred ninety-two of this article and in no event for a period of less than twelve months; provided, however, that such period of interlock restriction shall terminate upon submission of proof that such person installed and maintained an ignition interlock device for at least six months, unless the court ordered such person to install and maintain an ignition interlock device for a longer period as authorized by this subparagraph and specified in such order. The period of interlock restriction shall commence from the earlier of the date of sentencing, or the date that an ignition interlock device was installed in advance of sentencing. Provided, however, the court may not authorize the operation of a motor vehicle by any person whose license or</i></p> |
|---|--|--|--|--|

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services
DCJS NY RFP CJS2020-02
Provision of Ignition Interlock Services in New York State
As of February 4, 2021

| Question # | Page # | RFP Paragraph # | Question | DCJS Response |
|------------|--------|-----------------|---|---|
| | | | | <p><i>privilege to operate a motor vehicle has been revoked pursuant to the provisions of this section.</i></p> <p>Information is not available for the length of case specific orders</p> |
| 5 | 8 | 6 | <p>On page 8, under Scope of Work you state that there have been "approximately 70,000 IID's between August 2010 and June 2020." Can you please tell us how many interlocks were installed in 2019 or 2020?</p> | <p>Between January 2019 and September 2020, approximately 4,967 Ignition Interlock Devices were installed during the same quarter as the order.</p> |
| 6 | | | <p>What has been the average number of participants using the Ignition Interlock annually for each of the previous 3 years? Example: 2020 = "X" 2019 = "X" 2018 = "X"</p> | <p>The number of individuals with a device installed at any point during a given year is not available. However, the number of devices in service at the end of each calendar year are as follows:</p> <p>2018: 7,934 2019: 7,821 2020 (through 10/31/2020) 5,800</p> |

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services
DCJS NY RFP CJS2020-02
Provision of Ignition Interlock Services in New York State
As of February 4, 2021

| Question # | Page # | RFP Paragraph # | Question | DCJS Response |
|------------|--------|-----------------|--|--|
| 7 | | | <p>Can the IID annual statics reports available on the Division of Criminal Justice Services webpage here: https://www.criminaljustice.ny.gov/opca/ignition.htm be available for any of the following years 2018, 2019, and 2020? Currently the website goes to 2017.</p> | <p>Included</p> <p>The 2020 year-end information is still being submitted and processed.</p> |

IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICES INSTALLED

| COUNTY | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 YTD | TOTAL |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Albany | 68 | 212 | 263 | 244 | 286 | 309 | 374 | 377 | 269 | 224 | 49 | 2,675 |
| Allegany | 3 | 13 | 25 | 17 | 28 | 18 | 25 | 29 | 26 | 18 | 3 | 205 |
| Bronx | 35 | 104 | 103 | 117 | 150 | 79 | 86 | 105 | 123 | 114 | 17 | 1,033 |
| Broome | 32 | 104 | 148 | 139 | 175 | 118 | 121 | 128 | 37 | 52 | 12 | 1,066 |
| Cattaraugus | 25 | 62 | 69 | 74 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 57 | 51 | 61 | 12 | 571 |
| Cayuga | 12 | 22 | 46 | 49 | 40 | 56 | 62 | 56 | 47 | 44 | 10 | 444 |
| Chautauqua | 7 | 47 | 50 | 25 | 55 | 47 | 44 | 56 | 23 | 21 | 2 | 377 |
| Chemung | 27 | 59 | 102 | 99 | 86 | 64 | 68 | 73 | 66 | 81 | 22 | 747 |
| Chenango | 9 | 34 | 36 | 33 | 31 | 25 | 18 | 22 | 20 | 14 | 2 | 244 |
| Clinton | 12 | 70 | 94 | 69 | 81 | 113 | 103 | 92 | 84 | 81 | 29 | 828 |
| Columbia | 9 | 22 | 27 | 27 | 36 | 38 | 43 | 45 | 24 | 23 | 8 | 302 |
| Cortland | 11 | 30 | 50 | 42 | 34 | 29 | 30 | 25 | 26 | 31 | 12 | 320 |
| Delaware | 2 | 13 | 21 | 9 | 10 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 12 | 15 | 9 | 167 |
| Dutchess | 70 | 269 | 262 | 228 | 225 | 190 | 184 | 218 | 263 | 253 | 38 | 2,200 |
| Erie | 176 | 521 | 460 | 413 | 619 | 460 | 434 | 408 | 362 | 298 | 79 | 4,230 |
| Essex | 4 | 15 | 24 | 18 | 21 | 18 | 28 | 21 | 15 | 33 | 6 | 203 |
| Franklin | 6 | 12 | 29 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 22 | 16 | 7 | 179 |
| Fulton | 5 | 11 | 21 | 20 | 22 | 27 | 27 | 46 | 19 | 17 | 1 | 216 |
| Genesee | 16 | 70 | 74 | 69 | 74 | 86 | 83 | 109 | 91 | 72 | 23 | 767 |
| Greene | 9 | 21 | 21 | 15 | 17 | 33 | 26 | 17 | 21 | 27 | 9 | 216 |
| Hamilton | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 23 |
| Herkimer | 3 | 22 | 22 | 26 | 26 | 28 | 27 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 7 | 226 |
| Jefferson | 16 | 93 | 83 | 100 | 99 | 95 | 86 | 109 | 63 | 60 | 16 | 820 |
| Kings | 13 | 99 | 58 | 65 | 70 | 85 | 109 | 109 | 92 | 92 | 11 | 803 |
| Lewis | 1 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 11 | 26 | 22 | 22 | 16 | 19 | 4 | 171 |
| Livingston | 24 | 80 | 101 | 88 | 88 | 78 | 90 | 87 | 67 | 73 | 22 | 798 |
| Madison | 9 | 38 | 37 | 25 | 20 | 27 | 24 | 32 | 18 | 30 | 7 | 267 |
| Monroe | 93 | 587 | 639 | 567 | 572 | 564 | 629 | 726 | 445 | 464 | 109 | 5,395 |
| Montgomery | 8 | 16 | 37 | 25 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 6 | 200 |
| Nassau | 243 | 729 | 952 | 934 | 710 | 672 | 942 | 1,127 | 714 | 834 | 197 | 8,054 |
| New York | 36 | 194 | 152 | 151 | 139 | 129 | 106 | 111 | 118 | 92 | 14 | 1,242 |
| Niagara | 33 | 101 | 95 | 86 | 116 | 100 | 91 | 73 | 69 | 61 | 16 | 841 |
| Oneida | 54 | 112 | 135 | 132 | 141 | 144 | 116 | 129 | 93 | 84 | 22 | 1,162 |
| Onondaga | 33 | 145 | 132 | 138 | 146 | 184 | 155 | 168 | 131 | 152 | 38 | 1,422 |
| Ontario | 29 | 126 | 157 | 162 | 204 | 183 | 190 | 242 | 177 | 174 | 47 | 1,691 |
| Orange | 56 | 241 | 288 | 256 | 224 | 215 | 305 | 332 | 260 | 183 | 52 | 2,412 |
| Orleans | 9 | 25 | 18 | 14 | 20 | 17 | 27 | 38 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 202 |
| Oswego | 18 | 40 | 49 | 44 | 46 | 34 | 41 | 51 | 62 | 56 | 4 | 445 |
| Otsego | 12 | 36 | 35 | 25 | 16 | 15 | 25 | 14 | 19 | 20 | 4 | 221 |
| Putnam | 18 | 46 | 81 | 74 | 51 | 53 | 46 | 67 | 63 | 75 | 15 | 589 |
| Queens | 54 | 299 | 332 | 273 | 339 | 280 | 281 | 203 | 155 | 107 | 19 | 2,342 |
| Rensselaer | 18 | 78 | 74 | 141 | 106 | 75 | 83 | 125 | 77 | 44 | 18 | 839 |
| Richmond | 14 | 56 | 71 | 67 | 68 | 92 | 75 | 85 | 61 | 53 | 15 | 657 |
| Rockland | 19 | 84 | 89 | 83 | 98 | 78 | 103 | 139 | 80 | 84 | 9 | 866 |
| St. Lawrence | 11 | 35 | 31 | 28 | 34 | 79 | 58 | 35 | 25 | 25 | 10 | 371 |
| Saratoga | 48 | 164 | 203 | 145 | 170 | 159 | 153 | 191 | 158 | 150 | 35 | 1,576 |
| Schenectady | 28 | 106 | 96 | 102 | 65 | 49 | 50 | 89 | 52 | 74 | 16 | 727 |
| Schoharie | 6 | 10 | 22 | 24 | 13 | 12 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 6 | 159 |
| Schuyler | 8 | 13 | 27 | 17 | 16 | 21 | 24 | 22 | 27 | 20 | 4 | 199 |
| Seneca | 10 | 26 | 55 | 53 | 58 | 57 | 61 | 64 | 43 | 58 | 16 | 501 |
| Steuben | 4 | 54 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 93 | 85 | 84 | 88 | 72 | 25 | 727 |
| Suffolk | 258 | 656 | 1,079 | 832 | 817 | 757 | 916 | 1,062 | 934 | 777 | 217 | 8,305 |
| Sullivan | 19 | 50 | 47 | 33 | 37 | 38 | 45 | 49 | 61 | 45 | 7 | 431 |
| Tioga | 15 | 38 | 49 | 44 | 48 | 28 | 38 | 34 | 36 | 22 | 3 | 355 |
| Tompkins | 30 | 75 | 128 | 120 | 134 | 113 | 120 | 86 | 49 | 61 | 24 | 940 |
| Ulster | 24 | 133 | 183 | 180 | 176 | 170 | 152 | 201 | 111 | 132 | 37 | 1,499 |
| Warren | 8 | 38 | 43 | 47 | 38 | 40 | 48 | 72 | 47 | 52 | 20 | 453 |
| Washington | 6 | 24 | 35 | 37 | 17 | 24 | 24 | 35 | 35 | 33 | 13 | 283 |
| Wayne | 18 | 79 | 93 | 84 | 117 | 99 | 103 | 76 | 90 | 80 | 11 | 850 |
| Westchester | 114 | 490 | 421 | 397 | 501 | 418 | 514 | 477 | 528 | 591 | 122 | 4,573 |
| Wyoming | 6 | 16 | 16 | 31 | 20 | 26 | 17 | 12 | 24 | 24 | 7 | 199 |
| Yates | 13 | 27 | 38 | 30 | 26 | 27 | 20 | 46 | 27 | 34 | 6 | 294 |
| TOTAL | 1,977 | 7,010 | 8,218 | 7,499 | 7,742 | 7,212 | 7,897 | 8,609 | 6,795 | 6,574 | 1,587 | 71,120 |

Source: Vendor reports submitted to DCJS monthly.

NOTE: Devices are eventually removed.

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| New York State Annual Program Report | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January 1, 2019 - December 31, 2019 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County/Agency | # Orders Rec'd | IID Not Installed | Total % Not Installed | Installed by Class | | | | Total Installed* | Total % Installed* | Payment Ordered | | | | % Waived on Installed Only |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total | | | Full Payment | Payment Plan (Partial Payment) | Waived Payment | Total Payments | |
| Albany Probation | 477 | 323 | 68% | 70 | 84 | 0 | 154 | 32% | 146 | 3 | 5 | 154 | 3% | |
| Allegany Probation | 35 | 25 | 71% | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 29% | 3 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 30% | |
| Broome Probation | 57 | 44 | 77% | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 23% | 11 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 8% | |
| Broome District Att. & STOP-DWI | 99 | 70 | 71% | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29% | 24 | 1 | 4 | 29 | 14% | |
| Cattaraugus Probation | 128 | 94 | 73% | 0 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 27% | 29 | 1 | 4 | 34 | 12% | |
| Cayuga Probation | 26 | 24 | 92% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0% | |
| Cayuga Counseling | 74 | 47 | 64% | 0 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 36% | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0% | |
| Chautauqua Probation | 32 | 30 | 94% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0% | |
| Chautauqua Sheriff | 38 | 28 | 74% | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 26% | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0% | |
| Chemung Probation | 43 | 34 | 79% | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 21% | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0% | |
| Chemung STOP-DWI | 118 | 61 | 52% | 0 | 57 | 0 | 57 | 48% | 56 | 1 | 0 | 57 | 0% | |
| Chenango Probation | 33 | 22 | 67% | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 33% | 6 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0% | |
| Clinton Probation | 193 | 139 | 72% | 54 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 28% | 32 | 12 | 10 | 54 | 19% | |
| Columbia Probation | 67 | 52 | 78% | 6 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 22% | 10 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 13% | |
| Cortland Probation | 64 | 47 | 73% | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 27% | 15 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 6% | |
| Delaware Probation | 54 | 39 | 72% | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 28% | 6 | 6 | 3 | 15 | 20% | |
| Dutchess Probation | 417 | 284 | 68% | 0 | 133 | 0 | 133 | 32% | 129 | 0 | 4 | 133 | 3% | |
| Dutchess DDP | 131 | 63 | 48% | 0 | 68 | 0 | 68 | 52% | 68 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0% | |
| Erie Probation | 278 | 245 | 88% | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 12% | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0% | |
| Erie STOP-DWI | 562 | 400 | 71% | 162 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 29% | 160 | 2 | 0 | 162 | 0% | |
| Essex Probation | 50 | 29 | 58% | 0 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 42% | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0% | |
| Franklin Probation & STOP-DWI | 46 | 34 | 74% | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 26% | 1 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 8% | |
| Fulton Probation | 52 | 42 | 81% | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 19% | 7 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 30% | |
| Genesee Probation | 87 | 64 | 74% | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 26% | 20 | 1 | 2 | 23 | 8% | |
| Genesee Justice | 85 | 38 | 45% | 28 | 19 | 0 | 47 | 55% | 42 | 3 | 2 | 47 | 4% | |
| Greene Probation | 31 | 29 | 94% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0% | |
| Greene Sheriff | 25 | 18 | 72% | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 28% | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 28% | |
| Hamilton Probation | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | |
| Herkimer Probation | 78 | 69 | 88% | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 12% | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0% | |
| Jefferson Probation | 161 | 105 | 65% | 0 | 56 | 0 | 56 | 35% | 50 | 3 | 3 | 56 | 5% | |
| Lewis Probation | 32 | 18 | 56% | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 44% | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0% | |
| Livingston Probation | 154 | 94 | 61% | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 39% | 54 | 4 | 2 | 60 | 3% | |
| Madison Probation | 47 | 43 | 91% | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 9% | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0% | |
| Madison District Att. | 16 | 11 | 69% | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 31% | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0% | |
| Monroe Probation | 510 | 475 | 93% | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 7% | 34 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 0% | |
| Monroe TASC | 731 | 478 | 65% | 0 | 253 | 0 | 253 | 35% | 231 | 18 | 4 | 253 | 2% | |
| Montgomery Probation | 47 | 45 | 96% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0% | |
| Montgomery DA | 62 | 49 | 79% | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 21% | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0% | |
| Nassau Probation | 1,285 | 925 | 72% | 0 | 360 | 0 | 360 | 28% | 345 | 13 | 2 | 360 | 1% | |
| NYC Probation | 351 | 332 | 95% | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 5% | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0% | |
| NYC Dist.Att.(Queens) | 1,204 | 946 | 79% | 61 | 197 | 0 | 258 | 21% | 245 | 11 | 2 | 258 | 1% | |
| Niagara Probation/Lockport PD | 151 | 114 | 75% | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 25% | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0% | |
| Oneida Probation | 205 | 154 | 75% | 0 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 25% | 46 | 3 | 2 | 51 | 4% | |
| Onondaga Probation | 200 | 178 | 89% | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 11% | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0% | |
| Onondaga STOP-DWI | 243 | 153 | 63% | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 37% | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0% | |
| Ontario Probation & STOP-DWI | 263 | 134 | 51% | 0 | 129 | 0 | 129 | 49% | 117 | 5 | 7 | 129 | 5% | |
| Orange Probation | 625 | 444 | 71% | 0 | 181 | 0 | 181 | 29% | 173 | 2 | 6 | 181 | 3% | |
| Orleans Probation | 51 | 41 | 80% | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 20% | 5 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 20% | |
| Oswego Probation | 136 | 103 | 76% | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 24% | 27 | 5 | 1 | 33 | 3% | |
| Ossego Probation | 47 | 33 | 70% | 8 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 30% | 7 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 29% | |
| Putnam Probation | 120 | 73 | 61% | 0 | 47 | 0 | 47 | 39% | 46 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 2% | |
| Rensselaer Probation & STOP-DWI | 109 | 78 | 72% | 1 | 30 | 0 | 31 | 28% | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0% | |
| Rockland Probation | 241 | 184 | 76% | 0 | 57 | 0 | 57 | 24% | 54 | 2 | 1 | 57 | 2% | |
| St.Lawrence Probation | 33 | 32 | 97% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100% | |
| St.Lawrence STOP & DWI | 49 | 34 | 69% | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 31% | 6 | 5 | 4 | 15 | 27% | |
| Saratoga Probation | 300 | 208 | 69% | 0 | 92 | 0 | 92 | 31% | 88 | 1 | 3 | 92 | 3% | |
| Schenectady Probation | 145 | 94 | 65% | 0 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 35% | 48 | 1 | 2 | 51 | 4% | |
| Schoharie Probation | 37 | 25 | 68% | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 32% | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0% | |
| Schuyler Probation | 35 | 21 | 60% | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 40% | 13 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 7% | |
| Seneca Probation | 72 | 36 | 50% | 0 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 50% | 25 | 4 | 7 | 36 | 19% | |
| Steuben Probation | 160 | 120 | 75% | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 25% | 36 | 4 | 0 | 40 | 0% | |
| Suffolk Probation | 1,715 | 1,699 | 99% | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 1% | 14 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 13% | |
| Sullivan Probation | 45 | 32 | 71% | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 29% | 12 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0% | |
| Sullivan District Att. | 74 | 51 | 69% | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 31% | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0% | |
| Tioga Probation | 21 | 15 | 71% | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 29% | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 17% | |
| Tioga Sheriff | 29 | 18 | 62% | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 38% | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0% | |
| Tompkins Probation & STOP-DWI | 87 | 47 | 54% | 10 | 30 | 0 | 40 | 46% | 32 | 7 | 1 | 40 | 3% | |
| Ulster Probation & STOP DWI | 307 | 235 | 77% | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 23% | 62 | 4 | 6 | 72 | 8% | |
| Warren Probation | 36 | 32 | 89% | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 11% | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0% | |
| Warren District Att. | 86 | 54 | 63% | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 37% | 27 | 4 | 1 | 32 | 3% | |
| Washington Probation | 69 | 50 | 72% | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 28% | 9 | 6 | 4 | 19 | 21% | |
| Wayne Probation | 150 | 77 | 51% | 1 | 72 | 0 | 73 | 49% | 49 | 17 | 7 | 73 | 10% | |
| Westchester Probation | 914 | 514 | 56% | 0 | 400 | 0 | 400 | 44% | 391 | 3 | 6 | 400 | 2% | |
| Wyoming Probation | 65 | 51 | 78% | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 22% | 12 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 7% | |
| Yates Probation | 56 | 33 | 59% | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 41.1% | 21 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 4% | |
| TOTALS | 14,856 | 11,082 | 74.6% | 710 | 2,655 | 409 | 3,774 | 25.4% | 3,455 | 187 | 132 | 3,774 | 3.50% | |
| | | | | 4.8% | 17.9% | 2.8% | 3,774 | Total | 91.5% | 5.0% | 3.5% | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 25.4% | Installed | | | | | |

* The number and percent of IID devices installed represents only those IIDs installed within the quarter that the operator is ordered by the court to do so, and does not depict IIDs installed subsequently, following relicensing and other common occurrences in DWI cases.

County/Agency = The monitoring authority submitting the Quarterly Report. In some counties, both monitors report on the same form.

Orders Rec'd = The number of pre-sentence/sentencing orders requiring IID installation received by the monitoring authority.

IID Class = The OPCA Class of IID that is required to be installed by the offender.

Full Pay Units = The number of devices for which the offender was ordered to pay all IID fees.

Payment Plan Units = The number of devices for which the court ordered the offender to pay a portion of the IID fees.

Cost Waived = The number of devices for which the court ordered that IID fees be waived by the manufacturer.

The total number of all Payment Types Ordered may not match the total number of IID Class 1, 2, and 3 assignments because there may be multiple vehicles on one order.

Note: The following counties have had changes to their county plans since implementation: Albany, Delaware, Niagara, Oswego, Schoharie, and Ontario.

Albany Probation-effective April 19, 2011 assumed responsibility of CD cases; Delaware Probation-effective April 1, 2012 assumed responsibility of CD cases;

Niagara Probation-effective May 31, 2012 assumed responsibility of CD cases; Oswego Probation-effective July 1, 2012 transferred monitoring responsibilities of CD cases to Catholic Charities; Schoharie Probation-effective January 1, 2014 assumed responsibility of CD cases; Ontario Probation-effective October 1, 2014 transferring monitoring responsibilities of CD cases to STOP-DWI; Lewis Probation-effective January 12, 2017 assumed responsibility of CD cases; Oswego Probation-effective March 1, 2017 assumed responsibility of CD cases

